



Officers

Mark D. Smith

President

Jonathan M. Albano

President-Elect

Christine M. Netski

Vice President

Martin F. Murphy

Treasurer

Chinh H. Pham

Secretary

July 23, 2018

Governor Charlie Baker
Massachusetts State House
Office of the Governor
Room 280
Boston, MA 02133

Members of the Council

Thomas O. Bean

Russell Beck

Margaret A. Brown

Peter G. Coulombe

Prasant D. Desai

June D. Duchesne

Jennifer Sevigney Durand

Michael C. Fee

Mark C. Fleming

Martha J. Nahill Frahm

Anthony A. Froio

Megan N. Gates

Douglas R. Gooding

Rachel E. Hershfang

Peggy L. Ho

Hannah L. Kilson

Sarah G. Kim

Matthew V.P. McTygue

Ian Roffman

Vincent D. Rougeau

Anthony A. Scibelli

Paige Scott Reed

Carol A. Starkey

Mary B. Strother

E. Abin Thomas

Lisa M. Tittlemore

Patrick S. Tracey

Patricia A. Washienko

Raquel J. Webster

Re: H.4800, Outside Sections 41 and 106 on Special Immigrant Juveniles

Dear Governor Baker:

I write as president of the Boston Bar Association (BBA), a volunteer organization of nearly 13,000 members drawn from private practice, corporations, government agencies, legal-aid organizations, the courts, and law schools. Our mission is to advance the highest standards of excellence for the legal profession, facilitate access to justice, serve the community at large and promote diversity and inclusion.

The BBA is proud to support Outside Sections 41 and 106 in H.4800, which relate to Special Immigrant Juveniles and would provide critical access to justice for a small set of vulnerable young people between the ages of 18 and 21. This provision would simply allow those individuals to make the case to federal authorities that they qualify for special status under existing federal law, because they have been abused, neglected, or abandoned, and would be at risk if returned to their home countries.

The BBA has long been concerned about this issue. In 2015, we filed an amicus brief in *Recinos v. Escobar*, arguing that the Probate & Family Court has jurisdiction, in equity, over those seeking status as special immigrant juveniles (SIJ's) and may make predicate special findings to support SIJ applications for those up to age 21. Although the Court accepted that argument, the ruling did not obviate the need for a statutory remedy as a permanent and comprehensive solution to this limited but urgent problem.

In fact, the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has recently begun acting in defiance of the spirit, if not the letter, of *Recinos*, finding that SIJ applicants who are over the age of 18 have not met their burden of proof, and claiming that the Legislature "has established that a child is someone under the age of 18" and that therefore our Juvenile Court lacks jurisdiction to make the necessary dependency findings for anyone between 18 and 21.

Past Presidents

Lisa G. Arrowood

Edward J. Barshak

Joseph W. Bartlett

Jack Cinquegrana

Paul T. Dacier

Gene D. Dahmen

Anthony M. Doniger

Thomas E. Dwyer, Jr.

Donald R. Frederico

Lisa C. Goodheart

Julia Huston

Hugh R. Jones, Jr.

Michael B. Keating

Renée M. Landers

Hon. Edward P. Leibensperger

Joan A. Lukey

Hon. Sandra L. Lynch

Hon. Margaret H. Marshall (Ret.)

Edward I. Masterman

John A. Perkins

Rudolph F. Pierce

Joel M. Reck

John J. Regan

Richard W. Renchan

Lauren Stiller Rikleen

Mary K. Ryan

James D. Smeallie

Richard A. Soden

Carol A. Starkey

Kathy B. Weinman

Raymond A. Young

Outside Sections 41 and 106 will explicitly codify *Recinos*, granting the Court that statutory jurisdiction, applicable retroactive to the date of the *Recinos* decision and to any petitions that were wrongfully denied or revoked in this manner, based on the child's age.

As lawyers, we recognize that while the courts enjoy significant power to right wrongs through their equitable jurisdiction, only the Legislature can act to provide clear statutory parameters and guidelines for the courts' authority. Here, given the position of the USCIS, it is even clearer that legislation is the only mechanism by which a pathway to stable legal status can be offered to all at-risk juveniles who meet the federal law's requirements, without having to rely on the discretion and the legal interpretations of individual judges on a case-by-case basis. Furthermore, these bills spell out a well-defined framework for the courts in handling such cases – helping judges and their staffs, as well as applicants and their legal counsel (if any) to understand how to file and pursue a claim.

This proposal has the support of the courts, bar associations, and legal-service providers who represent the individuals whose ability to stay in Massachusetts – and with it their safety and security – are at stake. On behalf of the BBA, I urge you to include Outside Sections 41 and 106 in the FY19 Budget, and thus help extend access to justice to a small group of neglected, abused, and abandoned young people who have nowhere else to turn.

Sincerely,



Mark Smith
President

cc: Governor's Chief Legal Counsel, Lon Povich